#### Case 3:14-cv-00020-MMD-VPC Document 118 Filed 03/23/15 Page 1 of 4

Case 3:14-cv-00020-MMD-VPC Document 117 Filed 03/18/15 Page 1 of 4

1	ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General BETH HICKMAN Senior Deputy Attorney General	
2		
3	Senior Deputy Attorney General Nevada Bar No. 11598 Bureau of Litigation	
4		
5		
6	E-Mail: EHickman@ag.nv.gov	
7	Attorneys for Defendants Bruce Bannister, Francis Chelli, Doni K. Jennings, Grant Lee, Kara Krause LeGrand, and Cole Morrow	
8		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10		
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
12	DANTE PATTISON,	Case No. 3:14-cv-00020-MMD-VPC
13	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO OPPOSE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
14	VS.	
15	THE STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,	(SECOND REQUEST)
16	Defendants.	AND
17 18		MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		(FIRST REQUEST)
19		
20	Defendants, Bruce Bannister, Francis Chelli, Doni K. Jennings, Grant Lee, Kara Krause	
21		
22	LeGrand, and Cole Morrow, by and through counsel, Adam Paul Laxalt, Nevada Attorney	

of Civil Procedure 6(b) and is based on the following memorandum of points and authorities 26 and all papers and pleadings on file in this case.

27

28

111

General, and Beth Hickman, Senior Deputy Attorney General, move for an extension of time

of time to April 29, 2015, to oppose Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and to file

Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment. This motion is brought pursuant to Federal Rule

#### 

# 

# 

# 

#### 

## 

#### 

# 

### 

## 

## 

#### 

## 

### 

#### 

## 

#### 

### 

# 

Office of the

Memorandum of Points and Authorities

Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b) provides, in relevant part, "When an act may or must be done within a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time: (A) with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its extension expires . . . ." The "good cause" standard "primarily considers the diligence of the party seeking the amendment." *Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992). A party demonstrates good cause for the modification of a scheduling order by showing that, even with the exercise of due diligence, he or she was unable to meet the timetable set forth in the order. *See Zivkovic v. Southern California Edison Co.*, 302 F.3d 1080, 1087 (9th Cir. 2002).

Dispositive motions in this matter are due today, March 18, 2015. #62. Today is also the extended deadline Defendants received to oppose Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, which was necessary to allow Defendants the opportunity to conduct discovery before briefing dispositive motions. Good cause exists to extend time because, despite diligence on the part of Defendants, Plaintiff's misconduct has hindered Defendants' ability to complete discovery prior to the dispositive motion deadline established by the Court.

On December 31, 2014, Defendants moved for leave to depose Plaintiff. #72. The motion was granted on January 16, 2015, and Plaintiff's deposition was to occur February 6, 2015. Defendants hired a stenographer and coordinated with the prison to conduct the deposition of Plaintiff at Northern Nevada Correctional Center (NNCC) on February 6, 2015; however, Plaintiff refused to participate. Ex. A. On February 20, 2015, the Court denied Plaintiff's motion to stay his deposition, informing him he "initiated this case and defendants have the right to take his deposition pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 27." #103. As such, Defendants have noticed Plaintiff's deposition, currently scheduled for March 30, 2015.

Defendants' request for an extension of time is brought in good faith and is not being

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Defendants previously noticed Plaintiff's deposition for March 23, 2015. However, defense counsel primarily assigned to this matter is participating in a trial proceeding before the Honorable Judge Hicks in Case No. 3:11-cv-00548-LRH-WGC, which was anticipated to conclude by March 20, 2015, but will likely continue beyond March 23, 2015. As such, Defendants have re-noticed the deposition of Plaintiff for March 30, 2015, at 1:00 p.m. at NNCC.

#### Case 3:14-cv-00020-MMD-VPC Document 118 Filed 03/23/15 Page 3 of 4

Case 3:14-cv-00020-MMD-VPC Document 117 Filed 03/18/15 Page 3 of 4

brought for the purpose of delay. Plaintiff's deposition testimony is something which Defendants are entitled to obtain, and the testimony is necessary to properly brief dispositive motions. Accordingly, Defendants respectfully request an extension to April 29, 2015, to oppose Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment and to file Defendants' motion for summary judgment.

Dated: March 18, 2014.

ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General

y Bett Hickman

Senior Deputy Attorney General Bureau of Litigation

Attorneys for Defendants

U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: Murch 23, 2015

Office of the Attorney General 100 N. Carson St. Carson City, NV 89701-4717

#### Case 3:14-cv-00020-MMD-VPC Document 118 Filed 03/23/15 Page 4 of 4

Case 3:14-cv-00020-MMD-VPC Document 117 Filed 03/18/15 Page 4 of 4

To Oppose Plaintiff's Motion For Summary Judgment (Second Request) AND

1

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

and that on March 18, 2015, I served a copy of Defendants' Motion For Extension Of Time

Motion for Extension of time to File Defendants' Motion For Summary Judgment (First

I certify that I am an employee of the Office of the Attorney General, State of Nevada,

Pamela L. Stanley

2

3

4

5

6

7

Dante H. Pattison #88986 Care of NNCC Law Librarian

Carson City, NV 89702

P O Box 7000

Northern Nevada Correctional Center

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

lawlibrary@doc.nv.gov

Request) by U.S. District Court CM/ECF Electronic Filing to:

Office of the Attorney General 100 N. Carson St. Carson City, NV 89701-4717